

A proof of the non existence of Frey curves without using TSW theorem

Jailton C. Ferreira

Abstract

Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT) implies that the Frey curves do not exist. A proof of FLT independent of proved Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture is presented.

1 Introduction

Cubum autem in duos cubos, aut quadratoquadratum in duos quadratoquadratos, et generaliter nullam in infinitum ultra quadratum potestatem in duos ejusdem nominis fas est dividere: cujus rei demonstrationem mirabilem sane detexi. Hanc marginis exiguitas non caperet.

This quote was written by Pierre de Fermat (1601 - 1665) in the margin of his copy of Diophantus' Arithmetikae, near to a problem on Pythagorean triples.

The Latin text states that it is impossible to separate a cube into two cubes, or a biquadrate into two biquadrates, or in general any power higher than the second into two powers of the like degree, in other words, for any integer $n > 2$, the equation

$$a^n + b^n = c^n \tag{1}$$

does not have any integer solutions with $abc \neq 0$. The quote ends with

I have discovered a truly marvelous proof of this, which this margin is too narrow to contain.

Fermat's personal comment was discovered after his death. Now it is generally accepted that he likely found a mistake in the proof. However, he enjoyed issue theorems without the proof as a challenge problem to other mathematicians and it is possible that Fermat had outlined a proof. The personal note became the conjecture known as Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT). "Last Theorem" because it was for many years the last remaining statement in the list of Fermat's works to be independently proven or disproven.

During 324 years after the publication of the Fermat's note in 1670, many mathematicians failed in obtain a proof of FLT. However, part of modern number theory was developed in these attempts and the conjecture was proved for some values of n . Euler proved (1) for $n = 3$. Sophie Germain proved that if n and $p = 2n + 1$ are primes, the equation (1) has no solution with $abc \neq 0$. Dirichlet and Legendre proved for $n = 5$. Lamé proved for $n = 7$. Kummer found that FLT is true for all regular prime exponents. Wieferich proved case I of FLT for n , where n does not divide the Fermat quotient $(2^{n-1} - 1)/n$. Vandiver proved FLT for certain irregular prime exponents. Gerd Faltings [1] proved that (1) has at most finitely many integers solutions. The conjecture was validated with the help of computers up to 125000 [3] and is true for $n < 4 \times 10^6$ [4].

In 1984, Gerhard Frey proved that for a prime $n \geq 5$ the following three conditions are equivalent [5]:

1. There exists a solution of Fermat's equation (1).
2. There exists a stable elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that

- the points of order two of E are $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational,
- the field K_n obtained by adjoining the coordinates of the points of order n to $\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified outside $2 \cdot n$,
- $\text{Min}(0, v_n(j_E)) \equiv 0 \equiv v_2(j_E) - 8 \pmod{n}$.

3. There exists a stable elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ with a minimal equation

$$y^2 + xy = x^3\alpha x^2 + \beta x \quad \text{with } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2)$$

and

$$2^8 \cdot \Delta_E \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \quad (3)$$

Frey presented reasons for believing in the truth of the conjecture “ E is not modular”. He concluded that this conjecture and the conjecture of Taniyama-Shimura-Weil (conjecture TSW) would imply Fermat’s Last Theorem. The conjecture TSW states that “every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is a modular elliptic curve”.

The conjecture that E is not modular was nearly proved by Serre who left one part unproved, (Serre’s ϵ -conjecture). In 1986, Ken Ribet proved that the elliptic curve E is not modular [6]. In 1994, Andrew Wiles, assisted by Richard Taylor, proved that all semistable curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ are modular [7] and [8]. The proof of Fermat’s Last Theorem was completed.

2 The non existence of E

The way indicated by Frey to proof of Fermat’s Last Theorem requires the proof that the elliptic curves E do not exist. An independent way to prove FLT implies, for example, in another proof that Frey curves do not exist.

Theorem 2.1 *For any integer $n > 2$, the equation*

$$x^n + y^n = z^n \quad (4)$$

does not have any positive integer solutions with $xyz \neq 0$.

Proof:

Let be $x, y, z, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x > 0, y > 0, z > 0$ and $n > 2$.

Let n be equal to pq , where p is prime. Substituting n by pq into (4) we have

$$(x^q)^p + (y^q)^p = (z^q)^p \quad (5)$$

From (5) we verified that if FLT is true for $n = p$, then it is true for $n = pq$. The proof for $n = 4$ includes the cases with $n = 8, 12, 16, 20, \dots$. We need to prove FLT for odd primes n only.

For $x = y$ we obtain $z = 2^{\frac{1}{n}}x$, that is, there is no integer solution.

Let be

$$u^p + v^p = 1 \quad (6)$$

where p is prime greater than 2 and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us consider the line

$$v = -du + 1 \quad (7)$$

where

$$0 < d < 1 \quad (8)$$

For a value of u greater than 0 and less than 1, only one line satisfying (7) intercept (6). Therefore there exists only one slope of (7) satisfying this condition.

Substituting v from (7) into (6) we have

$$u^p + (-du + 1)^p = 1 \quad (9)$$

Using the binomial theorem we obtain

$$(-du + 1)^p = \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} (-du)^k \quad (10)$$

From (9) and (10) we have

$$u^p + 1 + \binom{p}{1}(-du)^1 + \binom{p}{2}(-du)^2 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1}(-du)^{p-1} + (-du)^p = 1 \quad (11)$$

or

$$d^p - \binom{p}{p-1}u^{-1}d^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p-2}u^{-2}d^{p-2} - \dots - \binom{p}{2}u^{2-p}d^2 + \binom{p}{1}u^{1-p}d - 1 = 0 \quad (12)$$

Applying Descarte's Rule of Signs to (12) we verify that the number of variations in sign of the polynomial equation is p , hence it has p positive roots or less than p by an even number. The number 0 is not a root of (12). The negatives roots of (12) are the positive roots of the equation obtained substituting d by $-d$ into (12). Doing this and applying Descarte's Rule of Signs, we verify that there are no negative roots. By Du Gua-Huat-Euler theorem [9] a polynomial equation

$$a_p d^p + a_{p-1} d^{p-1} + a_{p-2} d^{p-2} + \dots + a_2 d^2 + a_1 d + a_0 = 0 \quad (13)$$

has no complex roots if

$$(a_k)^2 > a_{k-1} a_{k+1} \quad (14)$$

for all $0 < k < p$. Substituting (13) by (12) we obtain

$$\left[\binom{p}{k} u^{-k} \right]^2 > \binom{p}{k-1} u^{-k+1} \times \binom{p}{k+1} u^{-k-1} \quad (15)$$

for $0 < k < p-1$, or

$$\left[(p-k)! \times k! \right]^2 < (p-k-1)! \times (k+1)! \times (p-k+1)! \times (k-1)! \quad (16)$$

or

$$(p-k)k < (p-k+1)(k+1) \quad (17)$$

For $k = p-1$ we have

$$\left[\binom{p}{p-1} u^{-p+1} \right]^2 > \binom{p}{p-2} u^{-p+2} \quad (18)$$

or

$$p u^{-p} > \frac{p-1}{2} \quad (19)$$

If u is positive and less than 1, we can conclude from (17) and (19) that (12) has not complex roots.

Let us consider the case where u is $\frac{x}{z}$. Let us notice that

$$0 < \frac{x}{z} < 1 \quad (20)$$

Substituting u by $\frac{x}{z}$ into (12) we have

$$d^p - \binom{p}{p-1} \left(\frac{x}{z} \right)^{-1} d^{p-1} + \dots - \binom{p}{2} \left(\frac{x}{z} \right)^{2-p} d^2 + \binom{p}{1} \left(\frac{x}{z} \right)^{1-p} d - 1 = 0 \quad (21)$$

There exists only one slope $-d$ for this case, which is the slope of the line that passes through the points $(0, 1)$ and $(\frac{x}{z}, \alpha)$, where

$$\alpha = \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{z} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (22)$$

The slope is

$$\beta = \frac{(\alpha - 1)z}{x} \quad (23)$$

To be consistent with only one value β , all roots of the equation (21) must be equal. Let us assume that all roots are equal to $-\beta$. Considering the Product of the Roots Theorem, we obtain

$$(-1)^p(-\beta)^p = -1 \quad (24)$$

or

$$\beta = -1 \quad (25)$$

However, if $-\beta$ is equal to 1 it does not satisfy the condition (8). Therefore, does not exist solution for (21).

If FLT is not true there exists some rational number β equal to

$$\frac{\frac{y}{z} - 1}{\frac{x}{z}} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{y}{z}\right)^p + \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^p = 1 \quad (27)$$

that is solution for (21). Since does not exist solution for (21), we conclude that for any integer $n > 2$ the equation (4) does not have any positive integer solutions with $xyz \neq 0$.

References

- [1] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Acta Inv. Math. **73** (1983), 349-366 (English translation in [2]).
- [2] G. Cornell and J. H. Silverman, eds., *Arithmetic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg (1986).
- [3] P. Ribenboim, *13 Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).
- [4] J. Buhler, R. Crandall, R. Ernvall, T. Metsänkylä, *Irregular primes and ciclotomic invariants to four million*, Math. Comp. **61** (1993), 151-153.
- [5] G. Frey, *Links Between Stable Elliptic Curves And Certain Diophantine Equations*, Ann. Univ. Sarav. Math. Ser. **1** (1986), 1-40.
- [6] K. Ribet, *On modular representations of $Gal(\overline{Q}/Q)$ arising from modular forms*, Inventiones Mathematicae **100** (1990), 431-476.
- [7] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem*, Annals of Mathematics **142** (1995), 443-551.
- [8] R. Taylor, A. Wiles, *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Annals of Mathematics **142** (1995), 553-572.
- [9] M. Mignotte, *Mathématiques pour le calcul formel*, Presses Universitaires of France, Paris (1989).